

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROGELIO MAY RUIZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. ROSSI, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-1066 WBS DB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims his rights were violated in connection with a rules violation report he received in June 2019. On November 2, 2020, the undersigned recommended that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis be denied because plaintiff accrued three strikes under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) prior to filing the instant action. (ECF No. 9.) By order dated January 4, 2021, the district judge assigned to this action adopted the findings and recommendations and directed plaintiff to pay the filing fee within forty-five days or face dismissal of this case. (ECF No. 12.) Those forty-five days have passed, and plaintiff has not paid the filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge Assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty days after

1 being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with
2 the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and
3 Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
4 may result in a waiver of the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d
5 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: April 26, 2021



7
8 DEBORAH BARNES
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 DB:12
19 DB/DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil.Rights/R/ruiz1066.f&r.fee
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28